UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/554,637	10/27/2005	Min-Hyo Seo	1599-0293PUS1	9196
	7590 04/15/201 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747	CH VA 22040 0747	ROGERS, JAMES WILLIAM		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/15/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application Number	Application/Control No.  Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination  10/554,637  SEO ET AL.		under	
	MICHAEL G. HARTLEY	Art Unit		
Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC				

## Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief F	Request for Review filed 2/24/11.
1. Improper Request – The Request reason(s):	t is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The request does not include rea	en filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. asons why a review is appropriate. ded with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	ntinues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from cation, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains under app is required to submit an appeal brief in a brief will be reset to be one month from a running from the receipt of the notice of	eals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been beal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date
The panel has determined the solution claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from considera	
	ence has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of n the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
4. Reopen Prosecution – A confere action will be mailed. No further action in	nce has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office s required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) /MICHAEL G. HARTLEY/.	(3) <i>J. Rogers (ex)</i> .
(2) K. Bragdon (OAS)	(4)

the